Andrew Leibnitz (State Bar No. 184723) aleibnitz@fbm.com	DORSEY & WHITNEY LLP Patricia A. Welch (Cal. Bar No. 127889)
FARELLA BRAUN + MARTEL LLP	305 Lytton Avenue
235 Montgomery Street, 17th Floor	Palo Alto, California 94301
San Francisco, CA 94104	Telephone: (650) 857-1717
Telephone: (415) 954-4400	Facsimile: (650) 857-1288
Facsimile: (415) 954-4480	Email: welch.patricia@dorsey.com
` ,	eFilingPA@dorsey.com
David W. Harlan (pro hac vice)	
B. Scott Eidson (pro hac vice)	DORSEY & WHITNEY LLP
Richard L. Brophy (pro hac vice)	J. Thomas Vitt (pro hac vice)
Mark A. Thomas (pro hac vice)	Mariah L. Reynolds (pro hac vice)
dharlan@armstrongteasdale.com	David A. Couillard (pro hac vice)
seidson@armstrongteasdale.com	Suite 1500, 50 South Sixth Street
rbrophy@armstrongteasdale.com	Minneapolis, MN 55402-1498
mathomas@armstrongteasdale.com	Telephone: (612) 340-2600
ARMSTRONG TEASDALE LLP	Facsimile: (612) 340-2868
7700 Forsyth Blvd. Suite 1800	Email: vitt.thomas@dorsey.com reynolds.mariah@dorsey.com
St. Louis, MO 63105	couillard.david@dorsey.com
Telephone: (314) 621-5070 Facsimile: (314) 621-5065	coumard.david@dorsey.com
raesilille. (314) 021-3003	ANDREWS KURTH LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiff	Paul D. Ackerman (pro hac vice)
CAVE CONSULTING GROUP, INC.	450 Lexington Avenue
CAVE CONSCERING GROOT, INC.	New York, NY 10017
	Telephone: (212) 850-2858
	Facsimile: (212) 813-8148
	Email: PaulAckerman@andrewskurth.com
	Attorneys for Defendant/Counterclaim Plaintif OPTUMINSIGHT, INC.
UNITED STA	TES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DIS	STRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN J	JOSE DIVISION
CAVE CONSULTING GROUP, INC.,	CASE NO. 5:11-CV-0469-EJD
Plaintiff/Counterclaim	
Defendant,	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSEDX]
Defendant,	ORDER OF DISMISSAL OF CERTAIN
vs.	COUNTS RELATING TO THE DANG
v 5.	PATENTS
OPTUMINSIGHT, INC.,	
,,	
Defendant/Counterclaim	
Plaintiff.	
STIPULATION AND [FROPOSED]	
ORDER OF DISMISSAL	
CASE NO. 5:11-CV-0469-EJD	-1-

1)

2)

Plaintiff and Counterclaim Defendant Cave Consulting Group, LLC ("CCGroup") and
Defendant and Counterclaim Plaintiff OptumInsight, Inc. ("OptumInsight"), by their undersigned
attorneys, state that to avoid the expense and uncertainty of ongoing litigation, the parties have agreed
to resolve the claims and counterclaims related to U.S. Patent Nos. 5,835,897; 6,370,511; 7,620,560;
7,774,216; 7,725,333; and 7,979,290 ("Dang patent family") owned by OptumInsight. Specifically,
OptumInsight has agreed to provide a release and a covenant not to sue concerning its claims that
CCGroup infringes the Dang patent family, and to dismiss those claims with prejudice, while
CCGroup has agreed to dismiss without prejudice its claims alleging noninfringement, invalidity, and
unenforceability of the Dang patent family. Therefore, pursuant to Rule 41(a)(1) of the Federal Rules
of Civil Procedure, the parties hereby stipulate and agree as follows:

- CCGroup hereby dismisses the claims set forth in Counts IV, V, VI, VII, VIII, IX, and X of the Second Amended Complaint filed on May 24, 2013 (Dkt. No. 89), of the above-captioned action WITHOUT PREJUDICE. Counts IV through IX seek a declaratory judgment of non-infringement and invalidity of U.S. Patent Nos. 5,835,897; 6,370,511; 7,620,560; 7,774,216; 7,725,333; and 7,979,290 ("Dang patent family") owned by OptumInsight. Count X seeks a declaratory judgment for inequitable conduct and unenforceability of the patents in the Dang patent family.
- OptumInsight, Inc. hereby dismisses the claims set forth in Counts III, IV, V, VI, VII, and VIII of its Answer to the Second Amended Complaint and Counterclaim filed on June 24, 2013 (Dkt. No. 96) in the above-captioned action WITH PREJUDICE. Counts III through VIII assert that CCGroup is infringing the patents in the Dang patent family.

Case5:11-cv-00469-EJD Document116 Filed04/28/14 Page3 of 6

	1
	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
1	0
1	1
1	2
1	3
1	4
1	5
	6
	7
	8
1	9
2	0
	1
2	
	3
2	
	5
2	
2	
2	8

- OptumInsight hereby acknowledges that CCGroup prevailed as to Counts III, IV, V, VI, VII, and VIII of OptumInsight's Answer to the Second Amended Complaint and Counterclaims.
- 4) The parties acknowledge that each retains the right to argue that it is the "prevailing party" under 35 U.S.C. § 285 as to the case as a whole at the end of this litigation.

CCGroup and OptumInsight state that this dismissal does not affect the claims for relief set forth in Counts I-III of CCGroup's Second Amended Complaint and Counts I-II of OptumInsight's Answer to Second Amended Complaint and Counterclaim, or the defenses to those claims. Each party will bear its own costs with respect to the claims dismissed by this stipulation. CCGroup and OptumInsight also agree and stipulate that this dismissal shall not affect the rights or defenses of either party concerning any motion made for recovery of attorneys' fees under 35 U.S.C. § 285 in this matter, including any motion based in whole or in part on the dismissed claims.

Case5:11-cv-00469-EJD Document116 Filed04/28/14 Page4 of 6

1	DATED: April 24, 2014	FARELLA BRAUN + MARTEL LLP
2		
3		
4		By: <u>s/ Richard L. Brophy</u> Andrew Leibnitz (Cal. SBN 184723)
5		235 Montgomery Street, 17th Floor San Francisco, CA 94104
6		Telephone: (415) 954-4400 Facsimile: (415) 954-4480
7		E-mail: <u>aleibnitz@fbm.com</u>
8		David W. Harlan (<i>pro hac vice</i>) B. Scott Edison (<i>pro hac vice</i>)
9		Richard L. Brophy (<i>pro hac vice</i>) Mark A. Thomas (pro hac vice)
10		ARMSTRONG TEASDALE LLP 7700 Forsyth Blvd., Suite 1800
11		St. Louis, MO 63015 Telephone: (314) 621-5070
12		Facsimile: (314) 621-5065
13		E-mail: dharlan@armstrongteasdale.com seidson@armstrongteasdale.com
13		rbrophy@armstrongteasdale.com mathomas@armstrongteasdale.com
15		Attorneys for Plaintiff/Counterclaim Defendant
		CAVE CONSULTING GROUP, INC.
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28	DD GD GGED 1	

STIPULATION AND [**PROPOSED**]
ORDER OF DISMISSAL

1	DATED: April 24, 2014	DORSEY & WHITNEY LLP
2		
3		
4		By: <u>s/J. Thomas Vitt</u> J. Thomas Vitt (Minn. Bar No. 0183817)
5		Mariah L. Reynolds (Minn. Bar No. 0387386) David A. Couillard (Minn. Bar No. 0391428)
6		50 South 6th Street, Suite 1500 Minneapolis, MN 55402-1498
7		Telephone: (612) 340-2600 Facsimile: (612) 340-2868
8		Email: vitt.thomas@dorsey.com reynolds.mariah@dorsey.com couillard.david@dorsey.com
9		Patricia A. Welch (Cal. Bar No. 127889)
10		305 Lytton Avenue Palo Alto, California 94301
11		Telephone: (650) 857-1717 Facsimile: (650) 857-1288
12		Email: welch.patricia@dorsey.com
13		ANDREWS KURTH LLP Paul D. Ackerman (N.Y. Bar No. 2756849)
14		450 Lexington Avenue
15		New York, NY 10017 Telephone: (212) 850-2858
16		Facsimile: (212) 813-8148 Email: PaulAckerman@andrewskurth.com
17		Attorneys for Defendant/Counterclaim Plaintiff OPTUMINSIGHT, INC.
18		
19	ATTESTA	TION OF ELECTRONIC SIGNATURES
20	Pursuant to Local Rule of F	Practice in Civil Proceedings 5-1(i)(3), the undersigned filer of this
21	document attests that concurrence	in the filing of this document has been obtained from each of the
22	signatories.	
23		
24	DATED: April 24, 2014	DORSEY & WHITNEY LLP
25		
26		By: s/ David A. Couillard
27		David A. Couillard
28	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER OF DISMISSAL	
	CASE NO. 5:11-CV-0469-EJD	-5-

	ı	
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
0		
1		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26	ı	ĺ

28

PROPOSED ORDER

PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED:	4/28/2014	Elil () Wash
		HONORABLE EDWARD J. DAVILA
		UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER OF DISMISSAL